Infection Prevention and Control Assessment Framework (IPCAF) results

PART A slide set template: Use part A during step 2

PART B slide set template: Use part B during step 4

PART A

Infection Prevention and Control Assessment Framework (IPCAF) results

Feedback to [insert name of person/group/committee]

Date [insert date]

Name [insert name]

Summary of background and problem

Background

- Supported by the hospital management, [insert facility name] is undertaking a major effort to improve IPC.
- A critical part of this improvement effort is to map the progress of [insert facility name] against WHO IPC guideline recommendations that describe eight core components of IPC programmes.
- A baseline assessment was undertaken using the WHO IPCAF tool).

Date IPCAF undertaken

 The assessment was undertaken on [insert day/ month/year].

Introduction to the IPCAF

Purpose and background

- The IPCAF assigns hospitals a score and position on a continuum of improvement from "inadequate" through to "advanced".
- It is a diagnostic tool to assess existing IPC activities/ resources and identify strengths and gaps.
- It comprises eight sections reflecting the eight core components and addresses a total of 80 indicators framed as questions.
- The IPCAF has been pilot tested in different acute health care facilities across the world (both low- and high-resource settings) to assess the usability, reliability and construct validity of the Framework.

Scoring methodology and interpretation

	F scoring	THE RESERVE	
шол			CLALIVII

Score		Interpretation
0-200	Inadequate	IPC core components' implementation is deficient. Significant improvement is required.
201-400	Basic	Some aspects of the IPC core components are in place, but not sufficiently implemented. Further improvement is required.
401-600	Intermediate	Most aspects of IPC core components are appropriately implemented. Continue to improve the scope and quality of implementation and focus on the development of long-term plans to sustain and further promote the existing IPC programme.
601-800	Advanced	The IPC core components are fully implemented according to the WHO recommendations and appropriate to the needs of your facility.

Discussion and feedback

- The overall score for this hospital was [insert score].
- This means that the assigned level for this hospital is [insert level].
- The interpretation of this result suggests [copy paste interpretation from IPCAF, for example, if "inadequate" "IPC core components implementation is deficient. Significant improvement is required etc."].
- A breakdown of the sub-scores for each of the eight components can be seen on the next slide.

Breakdown of scores

Sco	Score		
	Section/Component	Subtotal	
1.	IPC programme		
2.	IPC guidelines		
3.	IPC education and training		
4.	Health care-associated infection surveillance		
5.	Multimodal strategies		
6.	Monitoring/audits of IPC practices and feedback		
7.	Workload, staffing and bed occupancy		
8.	Built environment, materials and equipment for IPC		
	Total	/800	

Detailed analysis of results

 [Insert a summary of strengths and gaps from the IPCAF results for your facility in the table below]

Section/Component	Strengths	Gaps
1. IPC programme		
2. IPC guidelines		
3. IPC education and		
training		
4. Health care-		
associated infection		
surveillance		
5. Multimodal		
strategies		

Detailed analysis of results

 [Insert a summary of strengths and gaps from the IPCAF results for your facility in the table below]

Section/Component	Strengths	Gaps
6. Monitoring/audits of IPC practices and		
feedback		
7. Workload, staffing		
and bed occupancy		
8. Built environment,		
materials and		
equipment for IPC		

Next steps

 [insert proposed next steps based on IPCAF findings to guide the meeting discussion]

PART B

Repeat the Infection Prevention and Control Assessment Framework (IPCAF) results

Feedback to [insert name of person/group/committee]

Date [insert date]

Name [insert name]

Introduction

- A critical part of IPC improvement is to map the progress of [insert facility name] against WHO IPC guideline recommendations that describe eight core components of IPC programmes.
- A baseline assessment was undertaken using the WHO IPCAF tool in [insert day/ month/year].
- This assessment was the [insert first/second/ third,etc.] IPCAF assessment undertaken in our facility.

Recap on scoring methodology and interpretation

IPCAF scoring interpretation			
Score		Interpretation	
0-200	Inadequate	IPC core components' implementation is deficient. Significant improvement is required.	
201-400	Basic	Some aspects of the IPC core components are in place, but not sufficiently implemented. Further improvement is required.	
401-600	Intermediate	Most aspects of IPC core components are appropriately implemented. Continue to improve the scope and quality of implementation and focus on the development of long-term plans to sustain and further promote the existing IPC programme.	
601-800	Advanced	The IPC core components are fully implemented according to the WHO recommendations and appropriate to the needs of your facility.	

Discussion and feedback

- The overall score for this hospital was [insert score].
- This means that the assigned level for this hospital is [insert level].
- The interpretation of this result suggests [copy paste interpretation from IPCAF, for example, if "inadequate" "IPC core components implementation is deficient. Significant improvement is required etc."].
- A breakdown of the sub-scores for each of the eight components can be seen on the next slide.

Tracking progress over time

Place "x" in the table columns to track progress ("x" inserted for illustration purposes)

Score	Interpretation	[Month/year]	[Month/year]	[Month/year]
0-200	Inadequate	X		
201-400	Basic		X	X
401-600	Intermediate			
601-800	Advanced			

Breakdown of scores

Section/Component	Month/year Subtotal	Month/year Subtotal	Month/year Subtotal
1. IPC programme			
2. IPC guidelines			
3. IPC education and training			
4. Health care-associated infection surveillance			
5. Multimodal strategies			
6. Monitoring/audits of IPC practices and feedback			
7. Workload, staffing and bed occupancy			
8. Built environment, materials and equipment for IPC			
Total	/800	/800	/800

Detailed analysis of results

 [Insert a summary of strengths and gaps from the current IPCAF results for your facility in the table below]

Section/Component	Strengths	Gaps
1. IPC programme		
2. IPC guidelines		
3. IPC education and		
training		
4. Health care-		
associated infection		
surveillance		
5. Multimodal		
strategies		

Detailed analysis of results

 [Insert a summary of strengths and gaps from the current IPCAF results for your facility in the table below]

Section/Component	Strengths	Gaps
6. Monitoring/audits of IPC practices and		
feedback		
7. Workload, staffing		
and bed occupancy		
8. Built environment,		
materials and		
equipment for IPC		

Next steps

 [insert proposed next steps based on repeat IPCAF findings to guide the meeting discussion]